

United States Patent and Trademark Office

F	1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,017	02/12/2002	Mikio Torii	1247-0473P	3093
2292	7590 09/23/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		BAUM, RONALD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/073,017	TORII ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ronald Baum	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 25 U.S.C. 5 440	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/12/2002</u>. 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

M

У

D

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1- 11 are pending for examination.

2. Claims 1- 11 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shanton, U.S. Patent 5,680,452.
- 4. As per claim 1; "An encryption processing apparatus comprising: necessity determination means for

determining whether or not received data needs to be encrypted [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated storage forms (i.e., the hard drive, RAM, CD, queues, network memory elements, printer buffers, etc.) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted (upon receipt of the data to the encrypting system/device), both in a serial object manor, or in an encapsulated/inheritance object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.]; and

encryption means for

encrypting data which is determined as having to be encrypted, before being stored in a storage apparatus to output [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the objects (data) that are determined to be encrypted, residing in the associated storage forms for which the host processing element will perform the pre-selected form of encryption upon, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.].".

5. Claim 2 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 1, further comprising:

storage form determination means for

determining a storage form of the storage apparatus,

wherein the necessity determination means

determines whether or not the data needs to be encrypted, based on

a determination result of the storage form determination means.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the received objects defined across all types of data forms and associated storage forms that are further selectively determined to be encrypted, both in a serial object manor, or in an encapsulated/inheritance/access controlled object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

Claim 3 additionally recites the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of 6. claim 2, wherein

in cases where the storage form determination means determined the received data as being to be maintained in the storage apparatus even when the storage apparatus is isolated from others,

the necessity determination means determines that the data needs to be encrypted.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the received objects defined across all types of data forms and associated storage forms. especially as is concerned with network element/object to network element/object transfer/controlled access, that are further selectively pre-determined to be encrypted prior to transfer/storage across the network, both in a serial object manor, or in an encapsulated/inheritance/access controlled object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

7. Claim 4 additionally recites the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 1, wherein

the necessity determination means is constructed so as to determine whether or not the data needs to be encrypted based on

a form or

items of the data.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

8. Claim 5 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 4, wherein

in cases where the received data is presented in an encrypted form,

the necessity determination means

determines that the received data does not need to be encrypted.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted and as such are subsequently encrypted; clearly are not re-encrypted and therefore, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

9. Claim 6 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 4, wherein

in cases where an item of the received data is an indicator regarding importance of data, the necessity determination means

determines that the received data needs to be encrypted.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

10. Claim 7 additionally recites the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 6, wherein

the indicator is

a flag or

an instruction for confidential.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017

Art Unit: 2136

transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted upon request or instruction; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/encryption parameters (i.e., confidential or so related levels of security aspects) and specificity, etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

11. Claim 8 additionally recites the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 4, wherein

in cases where an item of the received data is a predetermined condition,
the necessity determination means

determines that the received data needs to be encrypted.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

12. Claim 9 additionally recites the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 1, further comprising:

decryption means for decrypting the encrypted data which is stored in the storage apparatus,

wherein the data is outputted after being decrypted by the decryption means.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the objects (data) that are determined to be encrypted, residing in the associated storage forms for which the host processing element will perform the pre-selected form of encryption upon, clearly will be subsequently decrypted upon determination of both valid use request or retrieved form determination so associated with the request, and therefore clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

13. Claim 10 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 1,

the encryption processing apparatus being used as an apparatus at a data receiving side.". The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the objects (data) that are determined to be encrypted, residing in the associated storage forms for which the host processing element will perform the pre-selected form of encryption upon, clearly will be subsequently decrypted upon determination of both valid use request or retrieved form determination so associated with the request, and therefore clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017

Art Unit: 2136

14. As per claim 11; "An encryption processing system comprising:

a host apparatus for offering services such as data creation [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated object creation/applications performing the object instantiation (i.e., host and network client/server word processing, image processing/rendering, etc.,), clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.]; and

an encryption processing apparatus which

encrypts data received from the host apparatus,

stores the encrypted data in the storage apparatus, and

outputs the data from the storage apparatus [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated object creation/applications performing the object instantiation (i.e., host and network client/server word processing, image processing/rendering, etc.,) and storage forms (i.e., the hard drive, RAM, CD, queues, network memory elements, printer buffers, etc.) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted (upon receipt of the data to the encrypting system/device), both in a serial object manor, or in an encapsulated/inheritance object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.],

wherein the host apparatus is provided with condition providing means for

providing a condition concerning encryption to data created by the host apparatus before transmitting to the encryption processing apparatus [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.] and

wherein the encryption processing apparatus comprises

necessity determination means for

determining based on presence or absence of the condition,

whether or not received data needs to be encrypted [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated storage forms (i.e., the hard drive, RAM, CD, queues, network memory elements, printer buffers, etc.) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted (upon receipt of the data to the encrypting system/device), both in a serial object manor, or in an encapsulated/inheritance object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.], and

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017

Art Unit: 2136

encrypts at the encryption processing apparatus side the received data when the necessity determination means determines

that the received data needs to be encrypted [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the objects (data) that are determined to be encrypted, residing in the associated storage forms for which the host processing element will perform the pre-selected form of encryption upon, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.].".

Page 11

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Ronald Baum, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3861, and whose unofficial Fax number is (571) 273-3861. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh, can be reached at (571) 272-3795. The Fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. For more information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald Baum

Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100